

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/603,817	06/26/2003	Paul G. Kostenick JR.	05165.1200 2739	
75	7590 12/05/2005		EXAMINER	
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP			FAYYAZ, NASHMIYA SAQIB	
Washington Squ Suite 1100	uare		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.			2856	
Washington, DC 20036			DATE MAIL ED. 12/05/2005	

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/603,817	KOSTENICK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Nashmiya S. Fayyaz	2856	
	10/603,817 Examiner	

Refere the Filing of an Annual Brief			T					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Nashmiya S. Fayyaz	2856						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 11-4-05 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOW	ANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		TINGT REFET WAS I	ILLD VVIIIIN					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th						
AMENDMENTS	•	,						
3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	duaina or aimplifuina	the issues for					
<ul><li>(c)   ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or</li></ul>	tter torm for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).							
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	·	_					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13.  Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)						
•								

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendment to the claims with the numerous insertions presents a new combination for the claims that raises new issues requiring further search and/or consideration.

HEZRON WILLIAMS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800